

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/028,726	02/24/1998	MATTI JOKIMIES	297-007856-U 5120	
7	7590 11/13/2002			
CLARENCE A GREEN PERMAN & GREEN 425 POST ROAD			EXAMINER	
			APPIAH, CHARLES NANA	
FAIRFIELD, C	00430		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2682	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002	حل

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(4)	
	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)
	09/028,7	726	JOKIMIES, MATTI
· Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit
	Charles	_ : : :	2682
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	inication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NICATION. Ins of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no entermunication. (30) days, a reply within the state statutory period will apply and ply will, by statute, cause the apply will, by statute, cause the apply will.	event, however, may a reply be ti atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 04 Septembe	<u>r 2002</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.	
3) Since this application is in conditi closed in accordance with the pra			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is.	/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election	requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar		•	
Applicant may not request that any o			• •
11) The proposed drawing correction fi			oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are 12) The oath or declaration is objected	• •	Office action.	
	to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	un fan fanstein oat aste		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a clai		inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of			
The second secon			Can Ma
_ ' '			
3. Copies of the certified copieapplication from the Inte* See the attached detailed Office act	rnational Bureau (PC)	Γ Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119((e) (to a provisional application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign I 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

•

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chavez, Jr. (6,018,666).

Regarding claims 1, 4 and 6 Chavez discloses as illustrated in Figure 1, a cellular radio system, a cellular radio terminal and a method to realize cell prioritizing in a cellular radio system which include terminals (124, 126) and a network including stationary network equipment (101, 102-116), of which the terminals are arranged to set up and maintain radio communications with base stations in the cells (102-116), wherein at least one terminal is arranged to favor at least one cell based on data specific to that terminal stored in and received from the network (tenant identification numbers identifying tenant whose base stations a wireless terminal can use which can be made available to the wireless terminal upon first registering on wireless switching system, see col. 3, lines 28-45, Figure 6).

Regarding claim 2, Chavez further discloses wherein the stationary network equipment comprises a database for storing cell priority data relating to individual terminals (wireless switching system being responsive to the identification code of

Application/Control Number: 09/028,726

Art Unit: 2682

٤

wireless terminal to examine internal table to determine the tenant to which the wireless terminal is assigned, accessing base stations which can be used by the tenant and downloading the information to the wireless terminal, see col. 3, lines 16-23).

Regarding claims 3 and 7, Chavez's teaching of the wireless switching system transmitting the list of base stations when the wireless terminal registers and requests the list (see col. 3, lines 10-14), reads on the stationary network equipment being arranged to supply information to the terminal about priority data stored in the database relating to the terminal as a response to an excitation which is when the terminal registers with the cellular system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chavez, Jr., as applied to claims 4 and 6 above, and further in view of Wang et al. (5,649,289).

Regarding claims 5 and 8 Chavez fails to specifically disclose that the terminal is further arranged to maintain a list of possible cells for re-selection and to arrange the list in an order, which is based on a parameter, calculated for each cell, in which for priority cells, the terminal is arranged to alter the parameter calculation relating to the cell, so that the parameter has a particular advantageous value in the case of a priority cell.

However, it is very well known in the art to use certain defined parameters in

Art Unit: 2682

maintaining cell re-selection data to favor priority cells as taught by Wang. Wang teaches the use of a user's office or home location in assigning a cell of preference (see col. 4, lines 32-45). Wang further discloses using indexes in determining cells which are considered part of a preferred list for a customer paging area for a mobile subscriber (see col. 5, lines 35-67).

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Wang with the system of Wang for the benefit of ensuring the selection of priority cells for communication in order to reduce charges for mobile subscribers.

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the above teaching of Wang using a parameter to determine a priority list hierarchy into the system of Wang in order to identify the characteristics of preferable cells for cell selection for communication while maximizing the advantages for the subscriber such as reduced charges or special communication services.

6. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chavez, Jr., and Wang et al as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of ETSI (European Telecommunications Standards Institute), ETS 300 535 (GSM 03.22 version 4.10.0).

With respect to claims 9 and 10 Chavez as modified by Wang fail to specifically teach information as to whether or not the terminal shall apply an offset parameter, a delay factor relating to the cell and cell re-selection hysteresis in the calculation of the parameter relating to a priority cell in a situation where cell re-selection represents shifting from a non-priority cell to a priority cell.

Art Unit: 2682

However, it is known in the art to use cell re-selection hysteresis and the use of a delay factor in calculating parameters relating to cell re-selection as taught by GSM 03.22 version 4.10.0. The specification teaches that for cell re-selection in cell prioritization, a hysteresis factor as well as an offset value can be used in determining a parameter (C2) (see sections 3.4- 3.5.2.2)

It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a delay factor in the system of Chavez and Wang as taught by the ETSI standard for cell re-selection hysteresis in making decisions regarding movement to and from priority cells as desired for the benefit of encouraging or discouraging re-selection of specific prioritized cells.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kojima (5,438,608) discloses a cordless telephone system, which limits a radio terminal to a specific exclusive zone of operation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Appiah whose telephone number is 703 305-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 703 305-6739. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9314 for regular communications and 703 308-6296 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/028,726

Art Unit: 2682

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306-0377.

Charles Appiah November 12, 2002.